

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/837,459 | 04/19/2001 | Hiroshi Izawa | 35.C15313 | 6750 | |
| 5514 7 | 7590 12/28/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA | | | ZERVIGO | ZERVIGON, RUDY | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 1763 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/837,459 | IZAWA ET AL. | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Rudy Zervigon | 1763 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | dress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this application at the same application at the same application and the same application are same applications. | ation. A proper rep h places the applic | ly to a ation in | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing da | ate of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing STILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma | ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION FR 1.136(a) and the app pount of the fee. The app originally set in the fina | tion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I | • | •• | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | her consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below): | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | | ,, | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | • | erially reducing or s | implifying the | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding number of t | finally rejected clain | n s. | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed | l amendment | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | | idered but does NC | OT place the | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6 and 13-16</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | (), | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Examiner must re-examine the applied reference to Yamasaki (US 20030037730) and the anticipation rejection. Further, the Examiner must determine if rejections under obviousness should be applied when an updated search is conducted..